UDC 629.056.88+551.51

M. PAZIAK

Department of Higher Geodesy and Astronomy, Lviv Polytechnic National University, 12, S. Bandera Str., Lviv, 79013, Ukraine, tel. 066-27-38-901, e-mail mvolsavchuk@ukr.net

DETERMINATION OF PRECIPITABLE WATER VAPOUR, FROM THE DATA OF AEROLOGICAL AND GNSS MEASUREMENTS AT EUROPEAN AND TROPICAL STATIONS

https://doi.org/10.23939/istcgcap2019.01.020

The purpose of the given work lies in the studies of the atmospheric precipitable water vapour (PWV), based on the processing of aerological and GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) measurements, as well as the comparing of PWV values, determined according to the data of aerological and GNSS stations, located both in temperate and tropical latitudes. Methodology. The algorithm for determination of precipitable water vapour, based on GNSS observations, is divided into several stages: 1) the total tropospheric delay is determined by the basic equation of code or phase pseudodistances of GNSS measurements; 2) select zenith tropospheric delay (ZTD) values at the time of GNSS observations [ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/gps/products/troposphere/new/]; 3) according to the analytical Saastamoinen model, the hydrostatic component of the zenith tropospheric delay (ZTD) is calculated; 4) according to the ZTD values and hydrostatic component, the wet component values of the ZTD are obtained; 5) the conversion from the wet component to the integrated water vapour (IWV) component and the precipitable water vapour PWV is realized. The IWV and PWV values are also defined by upper-air sounding data. Results In the course of the performed research, the ZTD components and the PWV values were determined. A comparative characteristics of the present values was carried out, which were defined according to the data of both aerological and GNSS stations. Generally, the accuracy of the hydrostatic component of the ZTD determination is about 10 mm, and the accuracy of the wet component definition of ZTD, deducted from GNSS measurements, is approximately 20 mm. The PWV values mainly vary by analogy to the values of wet component of ZTD, and the accuracy of its definition reaches 3 mm. Novelty and practical significance. For the first time, simultaneous studies of the ZTD and its components and the water vapor content at five stations in the middle latitudes and three stations of the tropical zone were conducted. The obtained results can further be used in the studies of changes in climatic processes.

Key words: GNSS measurements; wet component of the zenith tropospheric delay; upper-air sounding; water vapour.

Introduction

Water vapour is constantly present in the atmospheric air (water in a gaseous state). More than 90 % of it is located in the troposphere. The water vapour can develop from the gaseous state into a liquid or solid state, therefore, it is constantly changing in space and time. It is the dynamic circulation of water in the atmosphere that the most processes important weather and climatic peculiarities are connected to. Consequently, a number of methods have been developed to consider the content of water vapour in the atmospheric air and, accordingly, the wet component (ZWD). However, all these methods do not provide sufficient accuracy of the definition of the ZWD component, and, accordingly, the accuracy of ZTD in modern geodetic measurements. The solution to this problem was established in [Bevis at al, 1992]. Solving the

inverse problem of GNSS measurements, determine the value of ZWD, and from it, by conversion, obtain the values of IWV (kg / m^2), and then PWV (mm).

Over the last few decades, a number of investigations have been carried out in the given direction, some of which are highlighted in the works [Fernandez, et al., 2010; Suelynn Choy, et al., 2015; T. Yanxin, et al., 2013; Biyan Chen, et al., 2018; C. Suresh Raju, et al., 2007; J. Haase, et al., 2003, Julio A. Castro-Almazán, et al., 2016]. The analysis of the zenith tropospheric delay and PWV in the middle latitudes is presented in the works [Kablak, 2011 a, 2011 b; Zablotskyi, et al., 2017; Savchuk, Zablotskyi, 2016; Kablak, Savchuk, 2012]. The peculiarities of the zenith tropospheric delay and the precipitable vapour in the tropical zone, determined according to radiosounding data, as well as by analytical models and other methods, are covered in the articles [Zablotskyi, Zablotska,

2010; Bock, et al., 2007; Manandhar et al., 2018; Realini, et al., 2014]. Particularly, the authors carried out extensive research of the zenith tropospheric delay and its components, obtained in 2011 and 2013 at 9 stations of upper-air sounding and 9 reference GNSS stations, located in the temperate and tropical latitudes [Zablotskyi, Savchuk, 2014; Paziak, Zablotskyi, 2015 a, 2015 b; 2018]. In the given work, the focus is on the investigation of accuracy and the comparison of the zenith tropospheric delay and PWV values, obtained according to aerological and GNSS stations data.

Purpose

The main purpose of the given research is to study the atmospheric precipitable water vapour PWV, based on the processing of aerological and GNSS measurements, as well as the comparing of PWV values, determined according to the data of aerological and GNSS stations, located both in the temperate and tropical latitudes.

Research methodology

The nearest corresponding active reference GNSS station was selected for each aerological station, which produced the known zenith tropospheric delays. For the correct comparison of measurements results, reduction of the main meteorological values (atmospheric pressure P, air temperature t, relative humidity U) were carried out at the aerological stations to the heights of the corresponding GNSS stations. Since the maximum heights achieved by bullets - probes during radiosounding at selected stations - were, for the most part, approximately 35 km, then from this height to 80 km the pressure and temperature were chosen from the standard model of the atmosphere SMA-81.

The algorithm for determining the precipitable water vapour on the basis of GNSS observations is divided into several stages: 1) by the basic equation of the code or phase pseudodistances of the GNSS measurements, the total tropospheric delay is determined; 2) select

ZTD values at the time of GNSS observations [ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/ gps/products/ troposphere/ new/]; 3) according to the analytical Saastamoinen model, the hydrostatic component of the zenith tropospheric delay is calculated; 4) according to the zenith tropospheric delay values and hydrostatic component, the values of the wet component of the zenith tropospheric delay is obtained; 5) the conversion from the wet component of the zenith tropospheric delay to the integrated IWV and the precipitable water vapour PWV is realized. All the formulas necessary for calculating are described in the paper [Zablotskyi, et al., 2017]. It should be noted that the PWV values are also determined by the vertical profiles of the main meteorological values, that is, in the process of upper-air sounding of the atmosphere, its values are presented on the [http://weather.uwyo. website edu/upperair/ sounding.html]. However, in terms of the correct comparison of the data, the PWV values were taken into account, which were calculated by the wet component of the zenith tropospheric delay, determined from upper-air sounding, considering the reduction of meteorological variables.

Characteristics of the source data

The vertical profiles of the main meteorological values, obtained from radiosoundings for ten-day period in January and July of 2018 at five aerological stations, were taken as the initial data in the research [http://weather.uwyo.edu/ upperair/ sounding.html]. In general, the stations were selected in the central and eastern region of Europe, located near the 50th parallel. Similar data were also selected for three stations, located in the equatorial zone. The values of the total zenith tropospheric delay, according to the data of the corresponding GNSS stations for the specified days were selected [ftp://cddis.gsfc. nasa.gov/gps/ products/ troposphere/new/]. The coordinates of the stations are listed in Table 1.

Aer	rological stati	ons		GNSS stations		Country	Distance			
Latitude	Longitude	Height,	Latitude	Longitude	Height,	<i>M</i>	km			
0° 00'	0° 00'	m	0° 00'	0° 00'	m	171	Kiii			
Middle latitudes										
Praha, 11520			GOPE			Czech Republic	28.0			
50 00	14 27	303.0	49 54	14 47	592.6					
	Kyiv, 33345			GLSV	TTI autori	4.0				
50 23	30 33	167.0	50 22	30 30	226.8	Ukraine	4.0			
Le	gionowo, 123	374		BOGI	Poland	10.0				
52 23	20 57	96.0	52 28	21 02	139.9	Totalid	10.7			
Poprad, 11952			GANP			Slovakia	1 2			
49 02	20 18	706.0	49 02	20 19	745.2	Siovakia	1.2			
Beauvecchain, 06458			BRUX			Belgium	20.4			
50 45	4 46	127.0	50 47	4 21	158.3	Deigium	29.4			
			Т	ropical latitudes	5					
Guam, 91212			GUAM			LIS A	15.8			
13 28	144 47	75.0	13 35	144 52	201.9	USA	15.0			
Singapore, 48698			NTUS			Singapora	35 /			
1 22	103 59	16.0	1 20	103 40	79.0	Singapore	55.4			
Pago Pago, 91765			ASPA			USA	1.8			
-14 20	-170 43	3.0	-14 19	-170 43	53.7	USA	1.0			

Coordinates of stations

Table 1

Table 2

The averaged values												
Stations	$d_{h(aer)}^{z}$	$d^{z}_{w(aer)}$	$\Delta d_{h(SA)}^{z}$	d ^z _{trop(GPS)}	$\Delta d^{z}_{w(GPS)}$	PWV _(aer)	ΔPWV					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
January												
Praha-Libus – GOPE	2151.2	52.4	0.7	2207.7	-4.9	8.1	-0.75					
Kyiv – GLSV	2269.4	39.3	8.7	2297.3	2.6	6.0	0.40					
Legionovo – BOGI	2301.1	46.4	4.1	2343.2	0.1	7.1	0.02					
Beauvecchain – BRUX	2266.0	71.7	4.9	2337.3	-4.5	11.1	-0.70					
Poprad-Ganovce – GANP	2106.5	41.4	-1.9	2155.2	-5.4	6.3	-0.82					
Guam – GUAM	2249.9	179.2	-7.7	2447.4	-10.7	29.5	-1.76					
Singapore – NTUS	2278.1	337.6	-7.1	2614.9	7.9	55.1	1.30					
Pago Pago – ASPA	2284.0	290.9	-8.2	2613.4	-30.3	48.0	-5.01					
July												
Praha-Libus – GOPE	2153.0	132.6	-4.2	2302.2	-12.5	21.3	-2.01					
Kyiv – GLSV	2224.9	187.5	-7.2	2436.4	-16.7	30.3	-2.70					
Legionovo – BOGI	2259.7	215.9	-1.9	2477.5	-0.1	34.8	-0.01					
Beauvecchain – BRUX	2264.8	154.9	-9.4	2452.2	-23.2	25.2	-3.77					

I

Continuation of Table 2							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Poprad-Ganovce – GANP	2109.0	158.3	-5.2	2277.9	-5.4	25.3	-0.87
Guam – GUAM	2243.0	339.4	-9.1	2612.5	-21.0	55.8	-3.46
Singapore – NTUS	2275.5	329.2	-8.1	2606.0	6.7	54.2	1.11
Pago Pago – ASPA	2288.9	229.4	-8.7	2548.8	-21.9	37.8	-3.61



Fig. 1. The averaged values of $d^{z}_{w(\mbox{\tiny GPS})}$ and $\mbox{\tiny PWV}_{(\mbox{\tiny GPS})}$ according to the data of the middle latitudes stations in January



Fig. 2. The averaged values of $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$ and $PWV_{(GPS)}$ according to the data of the middle latitudes stations in July

Data processing and the analysis of the obtained results

As a result of the conducted research and after processing the specified data, the following obtained: the was hydrostatic and wet components values of the zenith troposoheric delay, defined by radiosounding data $d_{h(aen)}^{z}$ and $d_{w(aer)}^{z}$; $\Delta d_{h(SA)}^{z}$ - are the differences of the

hydrostatic component, calculated according to radiosounding data $d_{h(ae)}^{z}$ and the Saastamoinen model $d_{h(SA)}^{z}$; the differences $\Delta d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$ – were deducted from radiosounding $d_{w(aer)}^{z}$ and GNSS observations $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$; the differences of the precipitable water vapour ΔPWV , calculated by

the data of radiosounding $PWV_{(aer)}$ and GNSS observations $PWV_{(GPS)}$; the values of the total zenith tropospheric delay, deducted from GNSS observations $d_{trop(GPS)}^{z}$. Due to the large amounts of data, there is no opportunity to represent all available calculations, however, in order to reflect the general picture in Table 2, the averaged values of the above mentioned values are given.

It is clear from Fig. 1 and 2 that at end of the observations at the middle latitudes stations the values of $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$ and correspondingly $PWV_{(GPS)}$

are much larger in July, than in January, first of all, it is caused by different temperature conditions in the given seasons, as well as the higher content of water vapour in the atmosphere in summer period. Since, the specified values are presented in mm, the

scaling relations $(\frac{d^{z}_{w(\text{GPS})}}{PWV_{(\text{GPS})}})$ for it, according to

the data of the middle latitudes stations, range from 6.44 to 6.60 in January. In July, the given relations are somewhat lower and range from 6.14 to 6.25.



Fig. 3. The averaged values of $d^{z}_{w(GPS)}$ *and* $PWV_{(GPS)}$ *according to the data of the tropical latitudes stations in January*



Fig. 4. The averaged values of $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$ and $PWV_{(GPS)}$ according to the data of the tropical latitudes in July

It is clear from Fig. 3 and 4 that the values $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$ and $PWV_{(GPS)}$ are much larger in the tropical latitudes, than in the middle ones (except for the GUAM station), and the scaling relations, both in summer and in winter, are practically the same and equal on average to 6.08. It should be noted that, according to the soundings results, an anomalous distribution of relative humidity with the height was observed at the Guam aerological station in January, first of all, in the lower 5 km layer, which dramatically reduces the content of water vapour in the atmosphere, and, accordingly, the wet component of the zenith tropospheric delay. Unlike in January, a uniform fall in relative humidity with

the height is observed in July. As a result, at almost the same ground air temperatures (both in January and in July, t > 25 °C), the wet component value is different. It is seen from Figures that the wet component $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$ is twice less in January, than in July.

By analysing the above presented figures, it can be said that the PWV values vary by analogy to the values of, $d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$. In Tabl. 3, the statistical characteristics of the differences of hydrostatic and wet components of the zenith tropospheric delay are given, as well as the differences of the precipitable water vapour ΔPWV .

Table 3

			Middle lati	Tropical latitudes							
Stations'	Praha	Kyiv	Legionowo	Beauvecchain	Poprad	Guam	Singapore	Pago Pago			
Charac-	GOPE	GLSV	BOGI	BRUX	GANP	GUAM	NTUS	ASPA			
teristics											
1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9	10			
		January, 2018									
min $\Delta d_{h(SA)}^{z}$	-1.2	6.0	2.1	-2.2	-4.7	-8.4	-8.7	-8.7			
$\max \Delta d^{z}_{h(S\!A)}$	7.9	11.7	7.6	16.5	1.4	-6.9	-5.6	-5.9			
М	2.7	8.9	4.3	7.3	2.5	7.7	7.2	8.2			
Σ	2.8	1.7	1.5	5.6	1.6	0.4	1.0	0.9			
$\min \Delta d^z_{w(GPS)}$	-13.6	-15.7	-8.2	-21.2	-8.3	-28.8	-2.3	-48.8			
$\max \Delta d^{z}_{w(GPS)}$	5.7	13.1	3.1	28.5	-2.7	11.5	12.5	1.5			
М	7.6	8.0	3.6	13.9	5.7	15.4	9.4	35.3			
Σ	6.1	7.9	3.8	13.8	1.8	11.7	5.2	19.1			
min ΔPWV	-2.10	-2.40	-1.27	-3.30	-1.28	-4.73	-0.38	-8.77			
max ΔPWV	0.88	1.49	0.64	4.41	-0.41	1.90	2.05	0.24			
М	1.16	1.21	0.55	2.16	0.87	2.54	1.53	5.84			
Σ	0.94	1.20	0.58	2.15	0.28	1.93	0.85	3.16			
		-		July,	2018						
$\min \Delta d^{z}_{h(SA)}$	-6.1	-12.9	-4.0	-15.0	-6.5	-9.9	-10.0	-9.6			
$\max \Delta d^{z}_{h(SA)}$	-3.2	-3.8	0.7	-5.6	-3.3	-7.9	-6.9	-7.4			
М	4.3	7.8	2.4	9.9	5.3	9.1	8.2	8.7			
Σ	1.0	3.2	1.6	3.4	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.8			
$\min \Delta d^{z}_{w(GPS)}$	-34.7	-29.8	-8.7	-47.6	-19.2	-56.4	-10.8	-41.3			
$\max \Delta d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$	12.4	10.7	10.4	2.7	10.7	-1.6	14.1	-12.8			
М	17.3	19.9	5.2	27.9	10.7	26.1	10.8	23.8			
Σ	12.7	11.3	5.5	16.3	9.7	16.2	8.9	9.8			

Statistical characteristics of differences

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
min ΔPWV	-5.59	-4.81	-1.40	-7.71	-3.07	-9.29	-1.79	-6.82
max ΔPWV	1.98	1.73	1.68	0.44	1.72	-0.26	2.50	-2.11
М	2.79	3.21	0.84	4.54	1.71	4.29	1.78	3.92
Σ	2.05	1.82	0.88	2.66	1.55	2.68	1.46	1.61

Continuation of Table 3

The following symbols are given in Table 3: min and max respectively are the minimum and maximum values of the indicated differences, m is a mean square error, σ – is a standard deviation. Having analysed the table, it is stated that the extreme deviations for differences $\Delta d_{h(SA)}^{z}$ both in winter and in summer do not exceed 10 mm, which confirms the exact definition of the hydrostatic component by the model of Saastamoinen. An exception is several Beauvecchain stations -BRUX, where the extreme deviation is 18.7 mm in January, and the standard deviation is $\sigma = 5.6$ mm, which is 2-3 times higher, than the corresponding characteristics of other stations. This can be explained by the fact that, the heights of the soundings did not exceed 17 km on average at the Beauvecchain station in January, which is almost twice less than the generally accepted sounding heights - 35 km, and this, in its turn, directly reduces the accuracy of the hydrostatic component determination.

Concerning the peculiarities of the spread of wet components differences $\Delta d_{w(GPS)}^{z}$, it is almost twice less in winter, than in summer period, for temperate latitudes, except for Beauvecchain stations – BRUX, where such a spread reaches 50 mm. This is to some extent due to the geographical location of the stations, the relative humidity of the air here, both in winter and in summer, is quite high, because of the proximity of the Atlantic Ocean.

As for the spread of differences ΔPWV , according to the data of both European and tropical stations, the given values do not exceed 10 mm during the winter and summer seasons.

Novelty and practical significance

For the first time, simultaneous studies of the ZTD and its components and the water vapor content at five stations in the middle latitudes and three stations of the tropical zone were conducted.

The obtained results can further be used in the studies of changes in climatic processes.

Conclusions

In the process of the conducted research, a comparative characteristics of hydrostatic and wet components values of the zenith tropospheric delay and the precipitable water vapour was carried out, which were determined according to the data of the aerological and GNSS stations, located both in temperate and tropical latitudes. Generally, the accuracy of the hydrostatic component of the zenith tropospheric delay determination is about 10 mm, and the accuracy of the wet component definition of zenith tropospheric delay, deducted from GNSS measurements, is approximately 20 mm. The PWV values mainly vary by analogy to the values $d^{z}_{w(GPS)}$,

and the accuracy of its definition reaches \approx 3 mm.

As it was already stated, by the results of the soundings, there was the abnormal distribution of relative humidity of air with the height at Guam station in January, therefore, in order to clarify the reason for such anomaly, it is expedient to increase a number of experimental data by developing and analyzing monthly soundings for the Guam station during the year in the future.

REFERENCES

- Atmospheric Research Service at the University of Wyoming, Online Resource: http://weather.uwyo.edu/ upperair/sounding.html
- Bevis, M., Businger, S., Herring, T. A., Rocken, C., Anthes, R. A., & Ware, R. H. (1992). GPS meteorology: Remote sensing of atmospheric water vapor using the Global Positioning System. *Journal* of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 97(D14), 15787–15801.
- Bock, O., Bouin, M.-N., Walpersdorf, A., Lafore, J. P., Janicot, S., Guichard, F., & Agusti-Panareda A. (2007). Comparison of ground-based GPS precipitable water vapour to independent observations and NWP model reanalyses over Africa. *Quarterly journal of the royal meteorological society*, 133, 2011–2027.

- Chen, B., Dai, W., Liu, Z., Wu, L., Kuang, C., & Ao, M. (2018). Constructing a precipitable water vapor map from regional GNSS network observations without collocated meteorological data for weather forecasting. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, *11*(9), 5153–5166.
- Fernández, L. I., Salio, P., Natali, M. P., & Meza, A. M. (2010). Estimation of precipitable water vapour from GPS measurements in Argentina: Validation and qualitative analysis of results. *Advances in Space Research*, 46(7), 879–894.
- Haase, J., Ge, M., Vedel, H., & Calais, E. (2003). Accuracy and Variability of GPS Tropospheric Delay Measurements of Water Vapor in the Western Mediterranean. *American Meteorological Society*, 42, 1547–1568.
- Julio, A. Castro-Almazán, Gabriel Pérez-Jordán, & Casiana Muñoz-Tuñón, (2016). A semiempirical error estimation technique for PWV derived from atmospheric radiosonde data. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 4759–4781.
- Kablak, N. I., Savchuk, S. H. (2012). Distant monitoring of the atmosphere. *Space Science and Technology*. 18, 2, 20–25.
- Kablak, N. I. (2011 a). Distant monitoring of the water vapor into the atmosphere by navigation satellite systems. *Geodesy, Cartography and Aerial Photographyv.* Vol.75, 31–35.
- Kablak, N. I. (2011 b). Monitoring of the besieged water vapor on the basis of the processing of GNSS data. *Space Science and Technology*. 17, 4, 65–73.
- Paziak, M. V., Zablotskyi, F. D. (2018). Features of the vertical distribution of the wet component of zenith tropospheric delay in middle and tropical latitudes. *Collection of scientific papers «Modern achievements of* geodesic science and industry», 2 (36), 41–49.
- Paziak, M. V., Zablotskyi, F. D. (2015 b). Comparison of the wet component of zenith tropospheric delay derived from GNSS observations with corresponding value from radio soundings. *Geodesy, Cartography and Aerial Photography*. 81, 16–24.
- Realini, E., Sato, K., Tsuda, T., & Manik, S. (2014). An observation campaign of precipitable water vapor with multiple GPS receivers in western Java, Indonesia. *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, 1:17, 1–20.

- Savchuk, M. V., Zablotskyi, F. D. (2014). Estimation of the hydrostatic component of the zenith tropospheric delay, from the data of radio soundings. *Herald geodesy and cartography* Kyiv: NDIHK, 6, 3–5.
- Savchuk, S. H., Zablotskyi, F. D. (2016). Monitoring of the tropospheric water vapor in the western cross-border zone of Ukraine. *Geodesy, Cartography and Aerial Photography.*, 83, 21–33.
- Shilpa Manandhar, Yee HuiLee, Yu Song Meng, Feng Yuan, & Jin Teong Ong. (2018). GPS-Derived PWV for Rainfall Nowcasting in Tropical Region. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, 1–10.
- Suelynn Choy, Chuan-Sheng Wang, Ta-Kang Yeh, John Dawson, Minghai Jia, & Yuriy Kuleshov (2015). Precipitable Water Vapor Estimates in the Australian Region from Ground-Based GPS Observations. Advances in Meteorology, Volume, Article ID 95481, 1-14.
- Suresh, C. Raju, K. Saha, B. V. Thampi, & K. Parameswaran. (2007). Empirical model for mean temperature for Indian zone and estimation of precipitable water vapor from ground based GPS measurements. *Annales Geophysicae*, 25, 1935–1948.
- Tropospheric GNSS Observation Files, Online Resource: ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/gps/products/troposphere/new/
- Yanxin, T., Lilong, L., & Chaolong, Y. (2013). Empirical model for mean temperature and assessment of precipitable water vapor derived from GPS. *Geodesy* and Geodynamics, 4 (4), 51–56.
- Zablotskyi, F. D., Zablotska, O. F. (2010). An analysis of zenith tropospheric delay in the Pacific tropical latitudes. *Collection of scientific papers «Modern achievements of geodesic science and industry»*, Lviv: Liha-Pres, I, 50–55.
- Zablotskyi, F. D., Paziak, M. V. (2015 a) An analysis of zenith tropospheric delay, defined during GNSS measurements and radio soundings in tropical and middle latitudes. *Herald geodesy and cartography*. Kyiv: NDIHK, 3, 7–9.
- Zablotskyi, F., Hresko, Yu., Palianytsia, B. (2017). Monitoring of water vapor content by radio sounding data at the Kyiv aerological station and by GNSS observation data at the GLSV station. *Geodesy*, *Cartography and Aerial Photography*. 85, 13–17.

М. В. ПАЗЯК

Кафедра вищої геодезії та астрономії, Національний університет «Львівська політехніка», вул. С. Бандери, 12, Львів, 79013, Україна, тел. 066-27-38-901, ел. пошта mvolsavchuk@ukr.net

ВИЗНАЧЕННЯ ОСАДЖУВАНОЇ ВОДЯНОЇ ПАРИ ЗА ДАНИМИ АЕРОЛОГІЧНИХ ТА ГНСС-ВИМІРЮВАНЬ НА ЄВРОПЕЙСЬКИХ І ТРОПІЧНИХ СТАНЦІЯХ

Мета роботи полягає в дослідженні атмосферної випадаючої водяної пари (PWV), що грунтується на опрацюванні аерологічних та ГНСС-вимірювань, а також порівнянні величин PWV, визначених за даними аерологічних і ГНСС-станцій, розміщених і в помірних, і тропічних широтах. Методика. Алгоритм

визначення осаджуваної водяної пари на основі ГНСС-спостережень поділяється на кілька етапів: 1) за основним рівнянням кодових або фазових псевдовідстаней ГНСС-вимірювань визначають повну тропосферну затримку; 2) вибирають величини ZTD на момент ГНСС-спостережень [ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/ gps/products/troposphere/new/]; 3) за аналітичною моделлю Saastamoinen обчислюють гідростатичну складову зенітної тропосферної затримки; 4) за величинами 3T3 і гідростатичною складовою отримують величини вологої складової 3T3; 5) за вологою складовою 3T3 обчислюють величини інтегрованої IWV та осаджуваної водяної пари PWV. Величини IWV і PWV визначають також і за даними аерологічного зондування. **Результати.** В ході виконаних досліджень визначено складові 3T3 та величини PWV. Проведено порівняльну характеристику цих величин, визначених за даними і аерологічних, і ГНСС-станцій. Загалом точність визначення гідростатичної складової 3T3; а точність близько 10 мм, а точність визначення вологої складової 3T3, а точність їх визначення досягає 3 мм. **Новизна та практична значущість.** Вперше виконано одночасні дослідження тропосферної затримки та її складових и вмісту водяної пари на п'яти станціях середніх широт та трьох станціях тропічної зони. Отримані результати надалі можна використали під час дослідження змін кліматичних процесів.

Ключові слова: ГНСС-вимірювання; волога складова зенітної тропосферної затримки; аерологічне зондування; водяна пара.

Received 10.12.2018