To the Question of the Attitude of the Population of Zhovkva District to the Bolshevik Occupation in 1939 – 1941

Serhii Konyukhov

Institute of Humanities and Social Sciences, History of Ukraine and Ethnic Communication department (HUEC), National university Lviv polytechnic, UKRAINE, Lviv, S. Bandery street 12,

E-mail: koniykhovs@ukr.net

The article analyzes the attitude of the population of Zhovkva district to the Bolshevik government. It is specified that with the coming to power of Soviet government there also occurred the positive changes that have provided the support of the population for this power. At the same time, the causes of discontent of the rest of the people with the Soviet government are found out.

Key words - Sov iet a uthority, r epression, de portation, occupation regimes

I. Introduction

The political changes that occurred in the period 1939 -1941 y ears, a ffected Galic ia people, an d th eir attit ude towards t he new g overnment produced the tactics and methods of the fighters for Ukrainian national idea. It was the population's perception of the new policy (implemented by the particular occupation regime) that dictated new goals, mobilized the residents to take certain actions. Gi ven t his t he a nalysis of t he att itude o f th e population of Zhovkva di strict [1] to the o ccupation regimes is **relevant**. The importance of the subject is also explained by the fact that to day's politicians, historians have d ifferent in terpretations o fW estern Uk rainian participation in World War II, the ambiguous attitude to the leaders of the national movement in Zhovkva is also observed. H owever, s uch c ontroversial q uestions a re much ea sier to s olve, when considering which were the priorities of the occu pied territories' popu lation a nd whether t hese p riorities were co nsidered b y th e occupation regimes.

The question of the occupation regimes of World War II is now in sight of many history researchers. Let us note that the subject of Sov iet gov ernment repeatedly was explored by modern scientists. A mong them we should mention Y. Slivka, V. Maslovskiy, M. Shvagulyak [2], I. Bilas [3]. How ever, their scientific research is focused mainly on the study of the resistance movement in Ukraine in general, and the studies by V. Holubko, A. Seredyak focus on the separate Yavoriv district [4].

The **aim** of our study is to follow the attitude of the population of Zh ovkva di strict t ot he Bol shevik occupation reg ime and to indicate the reasons for this attitude

As you know, a fter World War I the land of Western Ukraine came under the influence of Poland, Romania and Czechoslovakia. Galicia, in particular, experienced a significant influence of Poland. By the Second World War

Galicians were denied the right to decide their own destiny. Ukrainian i ntelligentsia h ad already then f ought for t he preservation of national identity. It was more said on this subject in the works by I. Havryliv and T. Hryvul [5].

II. Main

Ukrainians have a lways sought to p reserve their language, to supervise the production and social processes all by themselves. They wanted to live prosperously, free. They were tired of the pressure of the Polish authorities.

Since the beginning of the German-Soviet War, Polish soldiers retreated, to power in Galicia Bolsheviks came. They started to change people's lives for the Soviet system. Their main task was to ensure order, to eliminate the landlords and the monastic estates and pass them into the hands of the poorest. Here are the examples of the methods of the completing these tasks: in the village Dobrosyn in October 1939 157 hectares of land and all the propert y of landlord Y aroshinskiy was distributed among the poors; in the village Boy anets 171 aborers received 28 hectares of land, 45 poors received 28 hectares

Poors, of course, were pleased that they were given land. Thus they also tried to support the new government. For example, in the village Matsoshyn seizure of property from a local priest was organized by the inhabitant of the same village Hevak Stepan, who had been appointed the commandant of police by the new government [6]. This indicates that the So viet a uthorities began to meet local poor farmers needs, saving them from poverty. Thus they willingly deprived of their wealth even their wealthy neighbours.

The So viet go vernment has made so me positive changes in the in dustry: local plants reopened - glassworks, textile factory, tannery, started working three brickyards and paper factory. People were willing to accept the fact that medical care had became free of charge, they were pleased that eight reading houses, two cinemas, etc. had been opened [7].

These seemingly positive developments have provided support for the Soviet regime not only among the former members of CP WU, K omsomol members and their supporters, but also a mong a large part of the poors, which became sympathetic with communists.

But many inhabitants also understood that with the approval of education (it had been organized the activity of about 100 schools) and culture, the indoctrination took place in these areas, which included the restructuring of life according to t he Mar xist-Leninist ideology, the replacement of established values with new ones. That is why the population reacted to the earrival of the Bolsheviks with d istrust. T his i s ho w t he c itizen o f Zhovkva J ohn Mav dryk recalls: " We h eard ab out the repression of the people in the Soviet Ukraine. But when the Bolsheviks came, we were first keeping silent, but as they had lived here just a little – they immediately started to choose the intellectuals. And many intellectuals were taken a way to Russia just in a couple of months. So me were in e xile, an d so me k illed an d still n o h air o f them"[8]. The citizen of Zhovkva Lyubov Tymtsiv adds,

"The wise people and those who stood for Ukraine were eliminated. Mar iya Sa lo was k illed. Ev en speaking o f Ukraine was forbidden" [9].

Only in the first months of their stay at the new location the So viets were r ather lo yal to ward the established values of the citizens. However, already in 1940-1941those disagreeing with the policies of government were arrested, hundreds of them were eliminated. The new government, having sensed the resistance of Galicia to their ideology, to the collectivization of land and private farms, to the prohibition of national parties and public organizations, immediately launched an offensive to Ukrainians. The repressions started, the most common form of which was deportation. In Zhovkva district it was planned to evict 283 estates (1572 persons) [10].

As Vasil Roman recall s, in his village Mat soshyn at night on May 22, 1941 s ix families were taken to the northern districts of the USSR. The repressions by which the B olsheviks tried to force people to o bey their authorities, to refuse national and traditional beliefs, caused hatred of the local population, and therefore – resistance [11].

Conclusion

Thus, the attitude of the population of Zhovkva district (as o ne of the significant districts of Galicia) to the Bolshevik government in 1939-1941 was ambiguous: the new government was supported by the CPWU former members and their sympathizers, and by some people who got plots of 1 and, a considerable part of the population resisted to the Soviet authority.

References

- [1] It was tak en into account the borders of Zhovkva district of Lviv Region (Western Ukraine), which is situated at the Polish border and was formed in 1991 from Nesteriv, Kulykiv, Velyki Mosty and Maheriv regions.
- [2] Y. Slivka, V. Maslovskiy, M. Shvagulyak and others. Resp. Ed. Y. Slivk a, Vozz yednannya zahidnoukrainskyh zemel z Radyanskoyu Ukrainoyu [Reunion of Western Ukraine With Soviet Ukraine].

- AS (The A cademy of Siences) U SSR. I nstitute of Social Sciences. K.: Dumka, 1989. P. 159
- [3] I. Bilas. Represyvno-karalna systema v Ukraini 1917-1953: S ocialno-politychny t a i storyko-pravovy analiz. K nyha 2. [Repressive-Punitive Systemin Ukraine, 1917-1953: Socio-Political, Historical and Legal Analysis. Book 2.]. K yiv: Lybid, Troops of Ukraine, 1994. 688 p.
- [4] V. Holubko. Seredyak A. Zahidnoukrainsky selanyn v umovah komunistychnoho regy mu 1939- 1953: prystosuvannja t a sp rotyv. I storiya U kainy. Malovidomi i mena, podi i, f akty (Zbirnyk statej). Vypusk 34. [Wes t-Ukrainian V illager i n t he Communist R egime i n 1939 -1953: A daptation and Resistance. T he Histo ry o f Ukraine. Little -Known Names, E vents, Fact s (Co ll. o f ar ticles). Ed ...34]. Kyiv: Instytut istorii Ukrainy [The Institute o f T he History of Ukraine, N AS (National Academy of Sciences) of Ukraine] 2007 p. 269-289
- [5] T. H ryvul. P olsky t error p roty ukr ainskogo nnaselennya v 1939 t a v 1941 rok ah. [Polish Terror Against the Ukrainian Population in 1939 and 1941] Ukrainsky vyzvolny r uh. – Z oshyt 2 [U krainian Liberation Movement. - N otebook 2]. - Lviv, M C, 2003. - C. 86-94..
- [6] V. R oman. Mo ya prac ya I borot ba (S pogady c hlena OUN). [M y W ork a nd Str uggle (Me moirs o f a Member of OUN)]. Munich. 1983. P.32.
- [7] DALO [State Archives of Lviv region]. F.R. 221. Op.1 Spr. 172. Ark. 49
- [8] Interview with Lyubov T ymtsiv, born in 1924, w as taken in Zholkva 12.06..2012 / / Personal archive of the author
- [9] Interview with John Ma vdryk, born i n 1925, was taken in Zholkva 7.05.2012 // Personal archive of the author
- [10] Arhiv ministerstva vnutrishnih sprav lvivskoi oblasti AUVS LO [Archive o f th e in terior o f th e Lv iv region]. F. 110. Op. 1. Spr. 102. Ark. 148
- [11] V. R oman. M y Work and S truggle (Me moirs of a Member of OUN). Munich. 1983. P.38.