The Phenomenon of Tolerance in the Context of Multicultural Adaptation

Olga Lalak

International Information Department, Lviv Polytechnic National University, UKRAINE, Lviv, S. Bandery street 12, E-mail: olga muzyka@mail.ru

Abstract. The article deals the various materials about the phenomenon of tolerance in the context of crosscultural cooperation. There are researches about institutionally-organizational channels of realization of the multicultural adaptation.

Key words – i nstitutional cooperation, t olerance i n political proces ses, cu ltural coope ration, pr oblems of intolerant relations.

I. Introduction

Such phenomenon as t olerance is more important than ever in the epoch of globalization, in formatization, s wift growth of communication. We live in epoch of integration and interdependence, larg e-scale m igrations and population's moving u rbanization, t ransformation of social structures. Thanks to t olerant relations we have an opportunity t o s pecify o n a u nicity, ex clusivity, uniqueness, equality of our cultural habits and the right to be ex istent. Ma nual res earches, num erous det ermination of t he co ncept o f to lerance and t he p olitical o ne, demonstrate us the necessary to observe key moments of collaboration, p roblems o f in tolerant r elations, to p institutional a nd o rganizational channels o f in troduction of peaceful politics in society.

II.Page Setup

The poly ethnical env ironment requ ires not j ust goodwill to the sexual minorities or another point of view — als o there is a necessity to except the discrimination, religion compressions, moral relativism and o thers like that. Raises up the importance to research features of development of societies in different cultures, creation of ethnic order for moral communications and avoidance of confrontations.

Realization of initiatives in relation to humanizing of motion of p olitical p rocess r equires the corresponding adjusting of public relations, including legislative power. The in stitutional cooperation, political processes and measures for granting the support to non-state and nongovernmental organizations must pursue the aim of providing the stability and international peace in society. And it doesn't matter the national origin of fellow-citizens. For sure, to lerant behavior of citizens will depend on their feeling of security of their own interests.

Such a ph enomenon as social tolerance has the special weight in the process of social cooperation that has character of cross-cultural and cross-ethnical contact [1, p. 8]. Well, such as a social tolerance is the method of replacement of culture of war by peaceful relations, any

society tr ies to atta in the mutual understanding, harmonious co habitation in the world of multicivilizations (with inherent religion, ethnical, cultural and group differences).

Translation of ter minology ab out to lerance has specified f eatures in every langu age. Therefore "tolerance" in French means respectful attitude to other's freedom. In Chinese means a bility to allow, demonstrate the magnanimity, in Arabian tolerance is being patient to others. The analysis of cultural heritage of the Ukrainian people that is based on the "heart's philosophy", tolerance here is correlated with the concept of love and respect [2, p. 6] . The au thor of "Ph ilosophical en cyclopedia dictionary" proposes meaning of tolerance as benevolent or at least r estrained attitude toward individual and group differences (reli gious, et hnical, cultural, ci vilization) [3, p. 642].

Appearance of term "tolerance" in a political theory and practice is pecu liar ex actly for modern world con cord, when people un derstand t he n ecessity of setting the friendly mutual civilized relations [3, p. 6]. So ciologists give us an interpretation of tolerance as specified kind of relations between migrates and the environment of their residence. Methodological bases of theory of social action give us an opportunity to determine connection tolerance-intolerance as the social phenomenon with mutual relations in situations (objective reality) and human factors (subjective reality) [4, p. 86].

Gordijenko A. marks that tolerance expresses one of the essence des criptions of multiculturalism s uch as it 's a basic co ndition o f mutual r elations and ac tivity i n a multicultural so ciety, a nd t he s uccessful i ntercultural communication [5].

Nowadays c ultural i solation is not such ac tual as previous. T he o wn acc eptance of pos ition o f understanding others that is called "knowing people", will fill the memory of citize ns by be enevolent at titude and openness t oward a help. V. L ogvynchuk marks t hat tolerance en visages the cer tain growing in the original culture of basic universal values. Without them it can't be possible an un conflict co-existence of c ultures, ethn os, nations, religions [6, p. 5].

Researches of N. Bozok are specified distinguishing the concept of tolerance and patience. The first one means a willingness to behave indulgently to the ideas of stranger. The s econd on e does n't mean the con descension, but goodwill, r eadiness to the deferential dialogue and collaboration [7, p. 7].

Among many d eterminations, it follows al so to distinguish few interpretations by O. Antonjuk [8, p. 94]. Firstly, he gives u s a n i nterpretation of to lerance a s a harmony i n v arieties, n ot j ust as a moral d uty, b ut al so political and le gislative necessity. Next d etermination is an active relation that is formed on the basis of confession of un iversal ri ghts a nd f reedoms of man. F arther h e declaim that tolerance is a duty to assist claiming of rights and f reedoms of man, pl uralism, de mocracy a nd la w order.

In t he con text of c ultural coope ration, t olerance envisages the common direction of collaboration between

representatives of: public ungovernmental and non-state organizations, national and cultural as sociations, foreign representative structures, activists of the system of local self-government with citizens and others. As a result of measures of public organizations of U krainian national minorities, V. No sovets marks that majority participants actively take part in the process of state creation, that they assist consolidation in public relations and politics, also – international cooperation in society [9, p. 46].

Interdependence bet ween ci vilizations, tec hnological and co mmunicational d evelopment i s g etting to p ab ove associations and sometimes causes deepening of existence inequalities a nd o rigin o f new f orms o f r acism a nd intolerance. A ccordingly, ed ucational and i mperious establishments, m edia resources - priority h ave to distinguish reasons of m isunderstanding, m ust g ive practical advices for the conflict's decision and to mobilize public opinion and tolerant behavior.

At the state level it follows to: put right the organizational process of cultural and civilized measures, popularize constructive discussions, overcome an intolerance and hostile an attitude toward another points of view, bring up the respect to particular traditions, to regulate the tolerance as object of consideration by political actors.

It is considered that a to lerant relation is the source of intercultural and in terethnic ad aptation. So, the idea of peacekeeping in social and political field wills mooth opposite points of view and will improve mutual relations in cultural and communicational sense.

There are next to lerant displays: an active position of tolerant relation, confession of universal rights and basic human freedoms, perception and understanding of rich varieties in world culture, state and confessional measures for position's concordance, accordance to the ratified international a greements, multiplied political agreements and o thers. Sincere ideas, communicability, in dividual freedom and in dependent in fluence on human rights become the result of improvement in terethnic to lerance on the worlds tage and determine moral, social and democratic development of society.

Quite a bit scientists who has a to lerance as object of research, give their own interpretation of its phenomenon. V. Lektorskyj distinguishes 4 reasons:

- tolerance as indifference (it has liberal political basis, such as problems of all society are more important of present misunderstanding between people);
- tolerance as i mpossibility of mutual u nderstanding (somebody's misunderstanding o f cert ain c ulture doesn't e nvisage p riori th e h ostile attit ude to ward its representatives);
- tolerance as condescension to others weakness;
- tolerance as ex pansion of o wn experience and critical dialogue [10, p. 127].
- L. P ochebut d istinguishes fi ve levels o fe thnic tolerance: h igh p ositive, middle p ositive, n ormal, a nd middle ne gative, high negative. She sayst hat normal ethnic tolerance is in limits between an ethnic identity and ethnic i ntolerance. T hat means bet ween complete acceptability and permanent contacts with representatives

of cer tain n ationality a s with r elatives and a mong a complete un acceptability, unw illingness to s upport any contacts, by negative att itude to ward representatives of certain nationality [11].

It i s di stinguished t hree t ypes of tolerance b y B. Fijalkovska [12]:

- 1. Intellectual, that means respect to somebody's points of view.
- 2. Moral th at means that in case of maintaining elementary codes of conduct, there is a possibility to confront different ways of lifestyle, habits, customs and points of view.
- 3. Religious tolerance, which is considered as a right to accept or decline a beliefs and practices of representatives of different religions.

In 1995 t he Resolution of UNESCO has rat ified the Declaration of prin ciples of toleran ce [8, p. 9 4]. According to it, t here are lots of problems in countries. Among them are next: activation of acts of intolerance, terrorism, marginalization, discrimination between national, et hnic, lan guage minorities, also between socially unprotected groups etc. The Declaration specifies the aim of activity among states-members of UNO has to be claiming the ideals of tolerance in society.

Another international document also has a n i mportant value. Declaration about culture of peace was accepted by General Assembly of UNO in 1999 [8, p. 97-98]. Under the con cept of "peace" we should un derstand n ot j ust absence o f conflicts, b ut t he d ynamic process of wide participation that e ncourages d ialogue and set tlement of conflicts by collaboration and mutual understanding. Accordingly, we can distinguish the essence of culture of peace: cancelling the violence, respecting to principles of sovereignty, human rights and freedoms etc.

E. B ystryckyj declai ms t hat modern tolerance i s patience. It aris es i n s ituation where ev erything is completely different i n c ultural and p ublicity sense, b ut can exist parallel [13, p. 71].

The question of tolerance appears at any type of mutual relations a mong c ivilizations, classe s, d ifferent r eligious and eth nical groups. O. B erezjak as serts that conflict of civilizations is n ot j ust ar med oppositions a nd acts of terrorism. It can also be a collision of civilization systems, a comparison of world views, cultural, mental and religious values. Probable yt olerance in modern intercultural world has to appear as universal ethic requirement. It has to be inculcated in reality practically, such as in fact this ethic requirement gives an orient for a dialogue with others. The aim is searching a compromise in those difficult situations that nowadays civilization has faced to [13, p. 70-72].

Consensus t hinking i s ponderabl e i n s ituations of making decisions between subjects of political process. In fact, state po wer i s a n i ndividual i nstitution, e ven i f government is consisted of m any representatives. However every law, resolution, decision of a s tate value must be accepted tak ing in a count a human right to be others.

We can see a lar ge necessity in a political tolerance. In another way a n auth oritarian dictate an d on e occas ion

agreements a re e xpecting us. V. Logvynchuk g ives determination t hat p olitical to lerance is a necessary instrument of political process in a democracy country. In states where leaders of g overnment don't practice a political tolerance, rights of opposition are repressed and country becomes authoritarian [6, p. 9].

Mutual relation s bet ween s tructural ele ments of political p rocess are sp ecific ta king into acco unt organizational a nd procedu ral principles of concordance interests of a gents of p olitical s ystem. The ability to accept so mething that structural ele ments of p olitical process don't approve arises up due to tolerant skills.

There are few basic problems in the context of political processes and ways of their decision. Maintenance and support of peace in state has to be the aim of politics. Therefore the political aim must be embodiment of row of measures in relation to creation of to lerant attitude. Citizens must feel freedom of choice a priori, to be calm for their own rights, for their cultural acquisitions, to be calm for their own statement in interethnic relations. Representatives of state government have to embody in their politics next measures. Among them:

- to inculcate the spirit of tolerance among a population;
- to prevent the sense of estrangement between national minorities;
- to carry out the structural discussion of particular points of view on a cross-cultural relations etc.

Accordingly to it p olitical processes are d irected to providing of to lerant mutual relations in society. There are proper resources, or ganizational and financial providing necessary for.

Governmental in stitutions h ave to p rovide implementation of cultural and elucidative, educational, literary events, TV and radio services on a due technical and financial level. These measures are in charge of aspiration of citizens on a positive mood and of tolerant mutual relations.

At re gional le vel t here is needed to prov ide n ext measures:

- to execute an objective analysis of situation;
- to secure the support of national minorities;
- to assist the benevolent atmosphere of communicational processes;
- provide studies about equality of rights in educational establishments.

Besides that, participation of society's representatives in intercultural projects has to: guarantee the freedom of self-expression, of cultural authentication, must guarantee the statement of to lerant atmosphere in interethnic relations. There are few preconditions of existence the society with tolerant attitude, with dominating of patient relations to national, religious, cultural and other displays. Among them are: collaboration of different powerful establishments, system approach to politics of representatives of elite structures, orientation of political processes on a tolerant embodiment.

There are certain barriers in the process of interethnic communication as counterbalance to tolerant behavior. So we see different exposures of intolerant relations. Some of them are: lack of ability or unwillingness to accept others

16

individuality. A mutual ed ucation of b usiness p artners, attempts to change the partner on an own benefit also is an index of in tolerant r elations. W idespread mistake of negotiators is lack of ab ility to forgive somebody's awkwardness and f allaciousness. Am ong different exposures of in tolerant r elations are emphaticness and conservatism in estimations of other people, a lso—vandalism in temples. Next consequence of impatient attitude is an y so urce of hatred, x enophobia, an ti-Semitism, prejudices and all the elements of interethnic and religious enmity.

There are l ots o f ob vious ne gative c onsequences o f insufficient level of tolerance in society. Among them we can enumerate following:

- absence of social and political stability;
- unsteady negotiations and impossibility of consensus decision as a result;
- manipulation processes in society;
- supporting of con tradictory points of vi ew bet ween representatives of government and opposition;
- uncompromised ad justing o f so cial an d p olitical spheres;
- an origin of self-contradictions among representatives of imperious institutes;
- anxious situation of political culture;
- imitative supporting of dialogues.

Conclusion

The considered is sues need to study further how does tolerance affects on cultural and communicative relations, on course of political processes, on institutional and organizational channels of implementation the political culture.

In cas e of i gnoring general prin ciples of toleran ce, inability a nd relu ctance to accept the individuality of others, re-interaction of partners, efforts to fit partners by themselves, inability to forgive others their mistakes and awkwardness, categorical and conservatism in estimation of other people, vandalism in churches, will appear. Intolerance also can be found in any sources of hatred, xenophobia, anti-Semitism, and prejudice and in all the elements of ethnic and religious dissension.

So, the preventive measures to avoid stressful situations are: os tents of mutual co mpliance i n t he i ntegration process, tr ust, ab olition o f p rejudices an d p rincipled statements, elusion of opposition to the foreign system of persuasions du ring the i mplementation o f cros s-cultural communication. In critical situations, while the relation is tolerant, can arise up, so-called, "healthy conflicts". There are no hostility and crisis moments in such conflicts, but they bring positive changes, provide safe environment for discussions aboutt contradictions. So, tolerant be havior, dialogue con duction, t he s earch of peaceful method of settlement misunderstandings - m ake t he mortgage o f success of cross-cultural communications. The efficiency of tolerance between ethnic, religious groups begins with understanding the difference in origin, with perception of foreign cultures and traditions, with respect of subcultural variety, with cultivation of understanding and tolerance.

Certainly multinational society exists in its own cultural acquisitions, values and priorities. A method of tolerance proclamation is not universal, but it is only one from many steps for the state to elaboration of its position in the international arena.

Of co urse, t he coun try t hat declares toleran t relation, friendly gives the hand to the representatives of other cultures, but the conflict or violence level will not reduce automatically. The political culture of citizens is full of stereotype thinking and prejudices, so it will be peaceful and the relations will be be enevolent only gradually. According to that fact, to lerance will take part in such processes, but it will not be able to hide the difference of cultures in society.

The as piration to ac hieve tolerance consists of s everal stages. Primarily one of necessary conditions to achieve it is a synthesis of theoretical knowledge and practical experience of personality, who will carry on a dialogue with the representative of another culture. Taking to the consideration the policy of non-governmental organizations, national and cultural associations and a system of local self-governments, the main task is complex formation of positive perception of events, introduction communicative approach in resolution of misunderstandings, proceeding recovery orientations in the civilized society, legitimization of modern European society, making the patient attitude to alieneth nicenvironment in publicity

Making decisions about the improvement of national legislation, taking into account political and legal culture, legal consciousness, respect to the democratic norms and ideals, social unity may be perceived as recommendations. The analysis of human factors of tolerance of political process, culture of the representatives of ruling elite, influence of mentality and features of national character on the features of political scene – can be interpretated as recommendations.

So, citizen s o f d ifferent n ational o rigin, strive to feel security o f in terests, c ultural id entity a nd in dividuality, being the members of certain associations.

References

- [1] R. V . V iazova. E tnichnyi faktor u s ystemi komunikatyvnykh vidnosyn [The ethnic factor in the system of co mmunication relations], Si mferopol, avtoref. d ys. na zdobu ttia n auk. s tupenia kand. filosof. n auk: sp ets. 0 9.00.03 "sotsialna filosofiia ta filosofiia istorii", 2010.
- [2] L.. P eskina Ob lychchia to lerantnosti: an alitykobibliohrafichnyi p okazhchyk T sentralnoi r aionnoi biblioteky i m. Yevh ena Pluzhnyka [Faces of Tolerance: an alytical bib liography C entral D istrict Library by Eugene Pluzhnyk]. Kyiv, 2009, 28.
- [3] V. I. Sh ynkaruk. Fi losofskyi e ntsyklopedychnyi slovnyk [Ph ilosophical En cyclopedic D ictionary], Kyiv: Abris Publ., 2002, 742.
- [4] V. Petrov. J etnicheskie migranty i p olijetnichnaja prinimajushhaja s reda: p roblemy tolera ntnosti [Migrants an d ethn ic poly ethnic receiv ing environment: t he probl em of t olerance], av ailable:

- ecsocman.hse.ru/data/271/829/1219/010-PETROV V.N.pdf. [Accessed: 15 June 2013].
- [5] A. H ordiienko. P roblema t olerantnosti v konteksti multykulturalizmu [The p roblem of to lerance in the context o f multiculturalism], av ailable: http:// intkonf.org/gordienko-av-problema-tolerantnosti-vkonteksti-multikulturalizmu/. [A ccessed: 5 Sept. 2013].
- [6] V. Lo hvynchuk. T olerantnist yak t sinnisna determinanta p olitychnoi k ultury [Tolerance as a valuable d eterminant o f p olitical cu lture]. K yiv, avtoref. dys. na zdobuttia nauk. stupenia kand. polit. nauk : s pets. 23.00.0 3 " politychna kultura t a ideolohiia", 2007, 13.
- [7] N. B ozhok. P sykholohichnyi z mist poniattia "frustratsiina tolerantnist" [P sychological co ncept of "frustration to lerance"], av ailable: http://dspace.nuft.edu.ua/jspui/bitstream/123456789/1865/1/PSYCHOLOGICAL%20CONTENT%20OF%20THE.pdf. [Accessed: 5 Sept. 2013].
- [8] V. Ro zhok, O . Antoniuk E d., K ulturolohichnyi slovnyk [Culturological Dic tionary], K yiv: N MAU Publ., 2011.
- [9] V. No sovets. I deia p olitychnoi to lerantnosti v konteksti doslidzhennia problemy mizhnatsionalnykh vidnosyn [The id ea o f p olitical to lerance in t he context o f r esearch o n i nternational r elations], in Proceedings of the Visnyk Kyivskoho n atsionalnoho universytetu i meni T arasa S hevchenka P ubl., no .99, pp. 44- 46, 2 010. A vailable: http://papers.univ.kiev.ua/filosofija_politologija/articl es/The_idea_of_political_tolerance_in_context_of_re searches_of_international_relations_16974.pdf. [Accessed: 30 May 2013].
- [10] O. Polyntseva. P oshyrennia i dei t olerantnosti v polikulturnomu s viti [Spreading t he id eas o f tolerance i n a multicultural world] pp. 127- 128. Available: h ttp://papers.univ.kiev.ua/ f ilosofija_politologija/ ar ticles/The_distribution_of_id eas_of_tolerance_in_policultural_society_17203.pdf. [Accessed: 15 June 2013].
- [11] N. M archuk M izhetnichna vz aiemodiia yak neobkhidna s kladova k onsolidatsii uk rainskoho suspilstva [Interethnic interaction as a necessary part of the consolidation of the Uk rainian society], available:

 www.ucipr.kiev.ua/files/books/gide_OSCE2010.pdf.
 [Accessed 15 June 2013].
- [12] B. Fi jałkowska. "Tolerancja i n ietolerancja narodowa", Ser wis edu kacyjny P ubl. Av ailable: http://www.profesor.pl/mat/na7/na7_fijalkowska_030 523_1.php?id_m=3913. [Accessed: 5 Sept. 2013].
- [13] O. Berezi ak. Pr oblema tolerantnosti u komunikatyvnii filosofii [The problem of tolerance in communicative ph ilosophy], in P roceedings of t he Visnyk L vivskoho universytetu. Se riia filosofiia Publ., n o.12, pp . 67- 73, 20 09. A vailable: http://www.nbuv.gov.ua/portal/natural/vlnu/Philos/20 09 12/8.pdf. [Accessed: 5 Sept. 2013].