European Neigbourhood Policy: key principles

Maryana Hasyak

Political science and International Relations Department, Lviv Polytechnic National University, UKRAINE, Lviv, S. Bandery street 12, E-mail: m.hasyak@mail.ru

Abstract - The article considers the policy of the European Union towards neighboring states, including Ukraine; the review of the legal framework of the European Neighborhood Policy and its underlying principles has been done; the attitude of domestic scientists to such program of the EU and their prediction about its impact on the future of Ukraine in the global geopolitical space has been analized.

Key words - t he Eu ropean U nion, t he Eu ropean Neighbourhood Pol icy, Eu ropean N eighbourhood a nd Partnership I nstrument, N eighboring S tates, M ember States, the Action Plan.

I. Introduction

While p ursuing its p olicy expansion, the E uropean Union simultaneously has an intention to create and maintain a stable relationship with the states, which became its new neighbors. For this purpose Eu ropean Union within its own foreign policy has developed a program that focuses on cooperation with these countries, called the European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP).

II. Main Part

The reg ulatory framework for the formation of the concept of the European N eighbourhood Policy was publication of the Notice to Council and European Parliament "Wider Europe – N eighbourhood: A New Framework for Relations with our Eastern and Southern Neighbours" on March 11, 2003 by the European Commission and the Address "European Neighbourhood Policy. Strategy" on May 12, 2004.

The "European N eighbourhood an d Part nership Instrument" (ENP I) was introduced for the financing of the ENP.

The main purpose of the ENPI is to support sustainable development, convergence of policies and standards of the EU member and neighboring states. This activity should be carried out in accordance with the principles of the European Neighbourhood Policy and the approved plans of the EU neighbors. Funds are provided for the programs or projects of each country to comply with the approved plan of action [5].

The con cept of the ENP ap plies to s ixteen s tates: 6 countries of t he former S oviet U nion (Azerbaijan, Armenia, G eorgia, Mol dova and U kraine) and 10 countries of E astern and So uthern M editerranean (Algeria, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Syria, Tunisia and the Palestinian Atonomy) [2].

Implementation of the European Neighbourhood Policy is b ased on multilateral and b ilateral agreements with neighboring countries. Bilaterally EU forms relationships through the implementation of the Action Plans.

The key principles of the ENP are the following:

1) the principle of participation, according to which cooperation priorities will be determined by joint agreement of the parties considering common values and interests;

2) the principle of privileged part nership based on differentiated approach, according to which the depth of relationships with each of the partner countries differs and depends on how effectively common values would be implemented [2].

Thus, EU suggests the pri vileged relationships for the neighboring countries, that are built on mutual pursuit of common v alues (de mocracy and hum an rights, r ule of law, management, market econ omy prin ciples and sustainable development). The ENP extends be yound existing relationships and offers to deepen political relations and economic integration [6]. The cooperation in issues of information society development, environment protection and also on such issues as: education, health, development of civil society are emphasised. The implementation of these and others objectives, which are set out injointly a greed Action Plans, depends on the level of interest of the neighboring countries in it [2].

Since t he earl ys tages of its dev elopment and implementation E uropean N eighboorhood Policy has coused controversial estimates of national experts. Many Ukrainian scientists are working on the analysis of the principles of the ENP and its impact on relations with Ukraine. Among them are: O.Ko valyova, O.S ushko, G.Druzenko, O. Dergachov, G.Yavorska, S.Tereshko.

S.Tereshko notes, that the mechanism of ENP is "not able to s atisfy the needs of all Eu ropean U nion's neighboring c ountries". He argues that this policy has united countries from absolutely different g eographical regions, which a lso have completely different perspectives of relations with EU. The researcher claims, that the most post-soviet countries seek the direct membership while the countries of North Africa and West A sia can not qualify to join the EU. They need completely other forms and instruments of cooperation [3].

Some of U krainian scientists note that the problem of the neighborhood policy is that it lacks "the prediction of possibility of the fundamental evolution of relations".

As G.Dru zenko sa ys, t he ENP is "a res ult of complicated compromise between Me mber States and European institution". Pointing on the formation of "the most pro-R ussian and the most left parliamentary coalition during the entire history of Ukrainian parliamentarism", which was formed after two years of implementation of the ENP concerning Ukraine, he pays attention to the fact that in a certain way the EU "policy of "deliberate vagueness" has made its contribution to the architecture of a modern Ukrainian authority".

However, accordin g to t he res earcher, in di fficult circumstances, due to a s ignificant expansion of the EU, the sug gested N eighbourhood Policy "gives neighboring countries a real c hance to g radually be gin to ta ke advantage o f t he E uropean Econ omic Area." An important is sue at the s ame time is that the s uccess of

cooperation of neighboring countries and the EU within its policy to ward them depends not only on the Member States, but also "directly depends on the government and societies of these states" [1].

As for Ukraine, the years of 2012 and 2013 became the historically important period for it. At that time there was a significant depl oyment of a n ew bu dgetary process of EU, designed for the next seven years – by 2020, taking into accountthe expenditure items on the possible expansion of the association. It is time when the EU expects Ukraine to confirm European aspirations (a report on the achieving compliance the C openhagen criteria, readiness for EU membership and the start of negotiations about it) [4].

Taking this into account, the following benefits of ENP, identified by scientists, s hould be emphasized: 1) supporting adapt ation prog ram ai med at pro moting European stan dards in the legislation of some countries and European economic and political standards as well; 2) the di mension of justice and in ternal affairs, ai med at solving the problem related to the eastern border of the EU, namely its modernization, improving the quality and quantity of border guards as well as the intensification of cooperation between the EU and its eastern neighbors in the fight a gainst v arious k inds of or ganized cri me; 3) cross-border cooperation, that facilitates mutual contacts of local communities on both sides of the European Union d at the e sa me time prevents illegal immigration; 4) t he public di alogue, which provides the support f or cooperat ion between n ongovernmental organizations, lo cal a uthorities i n t he EU a nd t heir counterparts from the former So viet Un ion o utside the EU; 5) the program of support of transport infrastructure development that aims at the developing and modernizing of ex isting communication and transport infrastructure, which combines the EU countries with Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova, Russia, Georgia, Azerbaijan and others[3].

Conclusion

Thus, the ENP has no direct relationship to the process of EU enlargement and does not make predictions about the future development of the EU n eighboring countries. Such peculiarity of ENP causes different assessment of a world so ciety in general and Uk rainian scientists in particular.

However, according to the en ational scientists, even being put on a par with countries that do not have and cannot have intentions of joining the EU, U kraine being

an interested in the association state has a good chance to use the ENP as a reference point for the further development of relations with EU with prospects of further integration in this association.

References

- [1] G. Dru zenko, "Yevropeyska polit yka s usidstva: pidsumky ta perspektyvy dlya novoho ukrayinskoho uryadu" ["European N eighbourhood Pol icy: res ults and prospects for the new Ukrainian government"], Yustynian / Yurydychnyy Zhurnal Justinian / Law Journal, 2006. [Online]. A vailable: h ttp://www.justinian.com.ua.
- [2] M. V. Chabanna, "Yevropeyska polityka susidstva ta yiyi rol y rozvytky vidnosun Ukrayina-Yevropeyskyy Soyuz" ["The Eu ropean N eighbourhood Pol icy and its role in the EU Ukraine relations"], Natsionalna biblioteka Ukrayinu i meni I. V. Vernadskoho The Vernadsky National Library of Ukraine, Jan. 1, 2009. [Online]. A vailable: h ttp://www.nbuv.gov.ua/portal/natural/Nvuu/PSF/2009_11/Chabanna.pdf.
- [3] S. Tereshko, "Shidnyy vymir polityky Yevropeyskoho Soyuzu u konteksti natsionalnyh interesiv Ukrayiny" ["Eastern d imension of EU p olicy in the context of the n ational in terests of U kraine"], I nternational Review V idnosyny U krayina Y evropeyskyy Soyuz: v p oshuku i nstytutsiynyh transformatsiy International Review U kraine EU r elations: i n search of i nstitutional transformation, no. 2, pp. 30-37, July 2008. [On line]. Av ailable: h ttp://library.fes.de/pdf-files/bueros/ukraine/06780/review-2.2008. pdf.
- [4] T. H ryhorets, S . G utsal, "Yevropeyska pol ityka susidstva t a pot entsial novoyi posy lenoyi uhodu Ukrayina Yevropeyskyy S oyuz y ak chynnyky Yevropeyskoyi i ntehratsiyi ["European Neighbourhood Policy and the potential of the new enhanced U kraine EU ag reement as a factor of European in tegration of U kraine"], Nat sionalnyy Instytut St ratehichnyh D oslidzhen T he N ational Institute for the S trategic S tudies, 2007. [O nline]. Available: h ttp://old.niss.gov.ua/ Monitor/ November/4.htm.
- [5] "Wider Eu rope Neighbourhood: A N ew Framework f or Relations with ou r Ea stern and Southern N eighbours," Marc h 11, 2003. [Online]. Available: http://eeas .europa.eu/ en p/pdf/ pdf / com03 104 en.pdf